

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/636,571	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Feely	1712	

All Participants:

(1) Michael J. Feely.

(2) Debbie Dudek.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:


n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Timothy Keefer (Reg. No. 35,567) could not be contacted. In his place, Ms. Dudek confirmed that a response had not been submitted.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)